

**Delineation of river types for rivers of Mpumalanga,
South Africa: establishing a spatial framework
for selection of reference sites**

February 2000

H. Dallas and J. Fowler

**Southern Waters Ecological Research and Consulting cc
Freshwater Research Unit
University of Cape Town**

**For client:
Institute for Water Quality Studies, DWAF**

Executive Summary

The implementation design phase of the National Aquatic Ecosystem Biomonitoring Programme (NAEBP) was initiated in August 1997. This programme, subsequently renamed the River Health Programme (RHP), consists of four portfolios each focusing on different aspects of river health such as communication (including stakeholder and grassroots communication); capacity building; research, development and funding; and training. In addition to these general portfolios, each province of South Africa is represented by a regional "champion" who is responsible for the initiation of biomonitoring within their province. The overall objective of the RHP is to develop the procedures and infrastructures for implementation and ongoing maintenance of biomonitoring on a national scale.

Within the research and development component, attention has focused on establishing a method for the derivation of ecological reference conditions. The need for such a reference condition or established benchmark with which monitoring information can be compared, has been expressed on several occasions by organisations involved in biomonitoring. Reference conditions enable the degree of degradation or deviation from natural conditions to be ascertained. South Africa has a varied climate (and hence hydrological type), geology (and hence water chemistry) and geomorphology (and hence channel type, substratum composition, erosion potential). Variation in these factors, both among and within rivers, together with natural biogeographic differences in the distribution of riverine biota, may potentially lead to biotic differences. Such differences need to be taken into account when implementing a national biomonitoring programme and deriving ecological reference conditions. The establishment of a spatial framework facilitates the identification of ecologically-similar river types, for which ecological reference conditions can be derived.

This report is the first in a series of reports that focuses on the establishment of such reference conditions. Specifically, this report outlines the development and application of a spatial framework, and consists of a series of Geographical Information System (GIS) maps designed to assist with the identification of river types and the selection of reference sites. The focus is on the rivers of Mpumalanga, or rather DWAF primary catchments B and X, hereafter referred to as Mpumalanga region. Although reference conditions are being derived for Mpumalanga rivers, the methodologies developed may subsequently be transferred to other provinces.

A three-tiered hierarchical spatial framework has been applied, wherein level 1 is represented by ecoregions, level 2 by sub-regions or geomorphological zones and level 3 by river types. Ecoregions (Kleynhans *et al.* 1998a) for the Mpumalanga region are a refinement of the bioregions identified at a spatial framework workshop held in January 1996 (Brown *et al.* 1996). A top-down approach was followed, in which physical variables such as physiography, climate, geology, soils and potential natural vegetation were used to classify rivers into ecoregions. The assumption in an ecoregion approach is that instream features such as the distribution of the biota or water chemistry are intimately linked to these variables in the order in which they

were placed in the classification hierarchy (Eekhout *et al.* 1997). Verification of the level 1 ecoregions will need to be undertaken once more detailed information has been collected. It will most likely be an iterative process, with ecoregions becoming more refined over time. This is, however, outside the scope of the current project, although information collected during this project will contribute to verification of the ecoregions.

Level 2 in the hierarchy is that of longitudinal sub-regional division or geomorphological zonation. The zonation of the main rivers and tributaries of Mpumalanga region has enabled the identification of the geomorphological zones present in each of the represented ecoregions, and some indication has been attained as to the proportional representation of each zone within each ecoregion. Extrapolation from zoned rivers to ones for which geomorphological zonation is not yet known may be possible using the terrain morphology cover of the ENPAT97 series (Van Riet *et al.* 1997). This has not been done at this stage as it was beyond the scope of the report. However, complications are likely to arise where smaller rivers are concerned.

Level 3 in the hierarchy is the identification of river types, which is performed using factors such as river size, hydrological type (ephemeral, seasonal or perennial), geomorphological characteristics (channel type, substratum composition) and other chemical and biological factors. Initially the level 3 analysis was planned as a desktop exercise using available literature and local knowledge. The exercise, however, proved to be problematic because of limited responses from local experts and scant information. The type of information needed for this level of the hierarchy, such as river size, geomorphological and biological characteristics, is best collected in the field. It is therefore suggested that river types be identified as part of subsequent ground-truthing or field verification phases. Components incorporated in the ecoregions such as terrain morphology, vegetation and geology may also prove useful contributors to the identification of river types.

The Mpumalanga region has been graphically characterised in terms of bioregions (Brown *et al.* 1996), ecoregions (Kleynhans *et al.* 1998a), terrain morphology (Van Riet *et al.* 1997), potential natural vegetation (Low and Rebelo 1996) and geology (Vegter 1995). Subsequently, each DWAF secondary catchment has been graphically characterised in terms of ecoregions, geomorphological zones or sub-regions (main rivers and tributaries only), terrain morphology, vegetation and geology. This information, together with tabulated summary information of all named rivers at 1:250 000 or 1:500 000 scale provides details related to each river's "parent" river, level 1 ecoregion, vegetation type, geological or lithostratigraphic type and hydrological type.

Ecoregions, which incorporate physiography, climate, geology, soils and potential natural vegetation, therefore, facilitate the grouping of rivers at level 1 of the hierarchy. Geomorphological zones divided rivers at level 2 of the hierarchy. GIS maps of terrain morphology, potential natural vegetation and geology, together with information of hydrological type, contribute to identification of level 3 river types. Finalised river typing will, however, need to be done later at the field verification stage. It is within this framework

that potential reference sites will be selected and used to derive the ecological reference conditions for identified river types in Mpumalanga.

Acknowledgements

The contribution of the following organisations and individuals is gratefully acknowledged:

- Mr Mike Silberbauer, Institute for Water Quality Studies, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, for providing the GIS covers of ecoregion levels 1 and 2, in addition to ENPAT97 and potential natural vegetation. Thanks also for his general assistance and support throughout the spatial framework component of the project
- Prof Kate Rowntree and Miranda du Plessis for producing the geomorphological zonations for the main rivers and tributaries of Mpumalanga region
- Rebecca Tharme, Southern Waters Ecological Research and Consulting cc, for reviewing this document

Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	iv
List of Tables.....	vi
List of Figures.....	vii
List of Appendices.....	xii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 The purpose of this document	2
2. Development of a spatial framework	3
2.1 Introduction.....	3
2.2 Level 1: Bioregions/Ecoregions	4
2.2.1 Components of the Ecoregion Classification.....	4
2.3 Level 2: Geomorphological zones or subregions	16
2.4 Level 3: River type	20
3. Conclusions.....	105
4. References.....	106
Appendix 1.....	108
Appendix 2.....	109

List of Tables

Table 1.	Variables and sources of information considered in the ecoregion classification (from Kleynhans <i>et al.</i> 1998a)	6
Table 2.	Ecoregion Level 1 and 2 regions showing differences between Mpumalanga Province and DWAF drainage regions B and X.....	8
Table 3.	Geomorphological zonation of river channels (after Rowntree <i>et al.</i> 1996, Rowntree and Wadeson 1999 and Rowntree <i>et al.</i> 1998, with acknowledgement to Harrison and Elsworth 1958, Olif 1960 and Chutter 1967)	17
Table 4.	Summary data for all named rivers at 1:250 000 scale for secondary catchments B1-B7 and X2 and X3, and 1:500 000 scale for secondary catchments B8, B9, X1 and X4. Details related to each river's "parent" river, ecoregion level 1, vegetation type, geological or lithostratigraphic type and hydrological type (P=perennial and N=non-perennial, based on maps) are presented. Appendices 1 and 2 provide information pertaining to the vegetation types and lithostratigraphic units.....	86

List of Figures

Figure 1.	DWAF primary drainage regions B, comprising B1-B9 and X, X1-X4, in relation to the political provinces, Mpumalanga and Northern Province	2
Figure 2.	DWAF secondary drainage regions B1-B9 and X1-X4 in relation to two regional classifications, namely Bioregions (Brown <i>et al.</i> 1996) and Ecoregions (Level 1)	5
Figure 3.	DWAF secondary drainage regions B1-B9 and X1-X4 in relation to Ecoregion Level 2s	10
Figure 4.	DWAF secondary drainage regions B1-B9 and X1-X4 in relation to broad terrain patterns (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	11
Figure 5.	DWAF secondary drainage regions B1-B9 and X1-X4 in relation to broad terrain morphological divisions (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	12
Figure 6.	DWAF secondary drainage regions B1-B9 and X1-X4 in relation to terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	13
Figure 7.	DWAF secondary drainage regions B1-B9 and X1-X4 in relation to potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	14
Figure 8.	DWAF secondary drainage regions B1-B9 and X1-X4 in relation to lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	15
Figure 9.	Geomorphological zones for the main rivers and tributaries in DWAF secondary catchments B and X.....	18
Figure 10.	The proportional representation (as percentage) of each geomorphological zone in the six common ecoregions in secondary catchments B and X.....	19
Figure 11.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B1 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	21
Figure 12.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B1 showing ecoregion level 2s	22
Figure 13.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B1 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	23
Figure 14.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B1 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	24
Figure 15.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B1 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	25

Figure 16.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B2 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	26
Figure 17.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B2 showing ecoregion level 2s	27
Figure 18.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B2 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	28
Figure 19.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B2 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996)	29
Figure 20.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B2 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	30
Figure 21.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B3 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	31
Figure 22.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B3 showing ecoregion level 2s	32
Figure 23.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B3 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	33
Figure 24.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B3 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	34
Figure 25.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B3 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	35
Figure 26.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B4 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	36
Figure 27.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B4 showing ecoregion level 2s	37
Figure 28.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B4 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	38
Figure 29.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B4 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	39
Figure 30.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B4 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	40

Figure 31.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B5 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	41
Figure 32.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B5 showing ecoregion level 2s	42
Figure 33.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B5 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	43
Figure 34.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B5 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	44
Figure 35.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B5 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	45
Figure 36.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B6 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	46
Figure 37.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B6 showing ecoregion level 2s	47
Figure 38.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B6 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	48
Figure 39.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B6 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	49
Figure 40.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B6 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	50
Figure 41.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B7 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	51
Figure 42.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B7 showing ecoregion level 2s	52
Figure 43.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B7 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997))	53
Figure 44.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B7 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	54
Figure 45.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B7 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	55

Figure 46.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B8 coded by ecoregion level 1s	56
Figure 47.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B8 showing ecoregion level 2s	57
Figure 48.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B8 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	58
Figure 49.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B8 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	59
Figure 50.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B8 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	60
Figure 51.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B9 coded by ecoregion level 1s	61
Figure 52.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B9 showing ecoregion level 2s	62
Figure 53.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B9 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	63
Figure 54.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B9 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	64
Figure 55.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment B9 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	65
Figure 56.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X1 coded by ecoregion level 1s	66
Figure 57.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X1 showing ecoregion level 2s	67
Figure 58.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X1 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	68
Figure 59.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X1 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	69
Figure 60.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X1 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	70

Figure 61.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X2 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	71
Figure 62.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X2 showing ecoregion level 2s	72
Figure 63.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X2 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	73
Figure 64.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X2 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	74
Figure 65.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X2 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	75
Figure 66.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X3 coded by ecoregion level 1s and geomorphological zones	76
Figure 67.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X3 showing ecoregion level 2s	77
Figure 68.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X3 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	78
Figure 69.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X3 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	79
Figure 70.	1:250 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X3 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	80
Figure 71.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X4 coded by ecoregion level 1s	81
Figure 72.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X4 showing ecoregion level 2s	82
Figure 73.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X4 showing terrain morphology (modified from Van Riet <i>et al.</i> 1997).....	83
Figure 74.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X4 showing potential natural vegetation (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996). Details of the vegetation are given in Appendix 1	84
Figure 75.	1:500 000 rivers coverage for DWAF secondary catchment X4 showing lithostratigraphic units (modified from Vegter 1995). Details of the lithostratigraphic units are given in Appendix 2	85

List of Appendices

Appendix 1. Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (modified from Low and Rebelo 1996)..... 108

Appendix 2. Lithostratigraphic units specified in the Environmental Potential Atlas for South Africa (modified from Vegter 1995) 10

